

**From:** [Sivak, Michael](#)  
**To:** [Mitchell, Tanya](#)  
**Cc:** [Fajardo, Juan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Rolling Knolls schedule extension  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 07, 2015 4:52:20 PM

---

Tanya,

My recommendation is to agree to their second suggestion – to forego the interim deliverable and meet in person to finalize the locations of the monitoring wells in early March, one or two weeks after the last data are available from the lab.

I suggest we select a date in the first week in March for a meeting in either Edison or NY with the appropriate people to select the well locations. Since the report was due on Feb 22 (I think), then we would review it and provide comments – which would take a few weeks - if we meet during the first week in March to select the locations, we should be close to the original schedule.

Thoughts?

Michael Sivak  
212.637.4310

---

**From:** Persico, John [mailto:[John.Persico@arcadis-us.com](mailto:John.Persico@arcadis-us.com)]

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 07, 2015 11:23 AM

**To:** Mitchell, Tanya

**Cc:** Sivak, Michael; Fajardo, Juan; Ricci, Richard; Fisher, Gary M (Gary); 'Draikiwicz, Michael' (michael.draikiwicz@novartis.com); Stella, Mark; Walls (Young), Suzy; Gutherz, Andrew; mfaigen@issuesllc.com

**Subject:** Rolling Knolls schedule extension

Tanya,

As discussed on our call yesterday, the Rolling Knolls Settling Parties have reviewed their request for a 5-week extension for submittal of the Interim Technical Memorandum. The schedule is largely driven by the time required for sample analysis and leaves us very little flexibility in reconsidering the extension request. However, the Settling Parties have identified opportunities to compress the schedule and therefore propose an extension of 4 weeks to March 13, 2015. This schedule assumes no unanticipated laboratory issues or a weather event that delays the collection of the pore water samples.

If analysis of all contingent soil samples is not required, we anticipate submission of the Interim Tech Memo sooner.

We can compress the schedule even further if we can forego submission of the Interim Tech Memo. The purpose of the Interim Tech Memo was to use the newly collected data to propose locations for the new permanent monitoring wells to be installed at the Site. In lieu of the Interim Tech Memo, we would be willing to submit the data for USEPA review and then meet with USEPA in person to select the well locations. We could do this in early March, 1 to 2 weeks after the last of the data are received. The advantages of this approach are less preparation time after the final data are received and no USEPA review time for the Interim Tech Memo (3 weeks in the schedule in the Data Gaps SAP). The well locations would be finalized at the meeting and we would begin coordinating well installation immediately after.

**John L. Persico, P.G.** | Principal Geologist | [john.persico@arcadis-us.com](mailto:john.persico@arcadis-us.com)  
**ARCADIS U.S., Inc.** | 8 South River Road | Cranbury, New Jersey 08512  
T: 609.366.9006 | M: 609.903.6227  
[www.arcadis-us.com](http://www.arcadis-us.com)

ARCADIS, Imagine the result